

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,282	09/08/2003	Hideaki Shiga	Q77354	8678
23373	7590 02/25/2005		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			TUPPER, ROBERT S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ART ONE	TAI ER NOMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		2652	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,282	SHIGA, HIDEAKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert S Tupper	. 2652			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 September 2003</i> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,282

Art Unit: 2652

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by the prior art of figure 5.

Applicant acknowledges that magnetic disk cartridges with center cores with the listed configuration and having dimensions within the listed dimension ranges are known (see the discussion of the prior art page 3).

Furthermore, with regard to the manufacturing process limitations set forth in claims 1 and 8 that the center core is processed by pressing or pressing and forging, it is noted that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made; see *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3, CCPA 1976); *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972); *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974); *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). The patentability of the Final product in a "product by process" claim must be determined by the product itself and not the actual process and an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Accordingly, the weight given to the "product by process" limitation is the structure "gleaned" from the process.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,282

Art Unit: 2652

3. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by BEUCH et al (6,160,680).

Note figures 5-7. BEUCH et al shows a magnetic disk cartridge with a center hub formed by pressing a sheet metal (see column 5 lines 22-25).

4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by lizuka et al (4,739,434).

Note figures 4 and 5. lizuka et al shows a magnetic disk cartridge with a center hub formed by pressing a sheet metal (see column 3 lines 27-30).

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are indefinite and improper. The listed alternatives (pressing or pressing with forging) are not equivalents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-

Application/Control Number: 10/656,282 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst